

From: [Gene Dunaway](#)
To: [Water Draft Permit Comments](#)
Subject: ARG590001 AFIN 51-00164 Permit Modification
Date: Thursday, February 20, 2014 1:08:48 PM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

I tentatively oppose this permit modification until the following issues can be addressed. What are the distinctions between sprinkler system application and Vac tanker? It would appear to me that application by trucks would compress the soil and potentially cause uneven application of liquid waste.

Which application method leaves water vapor in the air causing odor and particle drift?

Why is the company unable to install the sprinkler system? If it's financial, is this an indication the company is under capitalized? What impact will this have on the future when it is certain that significant stream damage will take place?

I have had experience with several permitted activities on the White River from development to gravel mining to sand mining. In EVERY case it is not a question of **whether** they are going to violate permit conditions but when. Even though monetary punishment can be extracted, this **never** restores the area to the previous conditions prior to damage.

Mother Nature doesn't do "permit modifications". Check out gravity as an example. CAFO's are completely unnatural ways to raise animals. There are ways to raise hogs that are more closely aligned with natural principles. These should be employed.

Gene

--

Gene Dunaway
PO Box 500
Mountain View, AR 72560-0500
USA
Cell 1- 870-213-5784
gene.dunaway@gmail.com